Reply to Office Action of August 24, 2005

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present

application. Claims 5-7, 9-11 and 13-15 are currently being prosecuted. The Examiner is

respectfully requested to reconsider his rejections in view of the amendments and remarks as set

forth below.

Allowable Subject Matter

It is gratefully acknowledged that the Examiner considers the subject matter of claims 6,

10 and 14 as being allowable if rewritten in independent form. Although not conceding the

appropriateness of the Examiner's rejections, claims 6, 10 and 14 have been rewritten in

independent form. Accordingly, all of the claims are now in condition for allowance.

Restriction Requirement

The Examiner indicated that claims 1-3 remain withdrawn from consideration as being

drawn to a non-elected invention. By way of the present amendment, Applicants have cancelled

the withdrawn claims in order to further the prosecution. Applicants reserve the right to file a

divisional application for these claims.

Rejection Under 35 USC 112

Claims 12-15 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

The Examiner pointed out that the word "insert" should have been "inert" in claims 12 and 14.

5

This has now been corrected.

KM/njp

Docket No.: 4448-0170PUS1

4448-170 Pusi

Docket No.: 0941-0751P

Application No. 10/600,539 Amendment dated December 23, 2005 Reply to Office Action of August 24, 2005

Rejection Under 35 USC 102

Claims 4, 5, 7-9, 11-13 and 15 stand rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by

Brooks (U.S. Patent 6,003,674). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

By way of the present Amendment, Applicants have rewritten claims 6, 10 and 14 in

independent form and changed the dependency of the remaining claims to depend therefrom.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that this rejection is now rendered moot.

Conclusion

Due to the above remarks, it is believed that the claims clearly distinguish over the patent

relied on by the Examiner. In view of this, reconsideration of the rejection and allowance of all

of the claims are respectfully requested.

Dated: December 23, 2005

Respectfully submitted.

Joe McKinney Mundy

Registration No.: 32,334

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Jumps

(703) 205-8000

6

Attorney for Applicant

KM/njp